

# Public Document Pack

Supplementary Information for the Council meeting on the 12<sup>th</sup> November  
2014

This page is intentionally left blank

Councillors

**Legal & Democratic Services**

Governance Services

4<sup>th</sup> Floor West

Civic Hall

Leeds LS1 1UR

Contact: Kevin Tomkinson

Tel: (0113) 24 74357

Fax: (0113) 3951599

Email: [kevin.tomkinson@leeds.gov.uk](mailto:kevin.tomkinson@leeds.gov.uk)

Our Ref:

Your Ref:

6 November 2014

Dear Councillor

**COUNCIL – 12<sup>th</sup> NOVEMBER 2014**

Following the circulation of the agenda in respect of the above meeting colleagues within City Development have notified me of the following in respect of the Core Strategy Item;

- following a high court challenge into minerals 13 and 14 of the Natural Resources and Waste Local Plan, policies minerals 13 and 14 are being revised and cannot be regarded as adopted yet. This means that UDP Policy T31 is saved until the adoption of minerals 13. The Schedule of Saved UDP Policies has been updated to reflect this position and is attached to this letter
- in addition to this within the reports circulated for Council in respect of the Core Strategy reference is made to the SHMA in paras 5.2.20, 5.2.21 and 5.2.39 as being completed in 2010 – this should read **2011**. I thought it would be helpful if members were notified of this minor correction in advance of the meeting in order to avoid any confusion.

In addition to the above information please find attached for your attention minutes that were not available when the agenda was despatched.

Please attach these papers to your agenda for the meeting.

Many thanks.

Yours sincerely

**Kevin Tomkinson**  
**Principal Governance Officer**

This page is intentionally left blank



## **LEEDS CORE STRATEGY EXTRACT, APPENDIX 1- SCHEDULE OF THE UDP SAVED POLICIES**

**REVIEW OF CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF 'SAVED' POLICIES AT NOVEMBER 2014  
FOLLOWING SECRETARY OF STATE'S DIRECTIONS OF SEPTEMBER 2007 and JUNE  
2009.**

KEY: **Blue – 'Save'**      **Red –'Deleted'**

<b>Chapter No and Name: 03 – UDP STRATEGY: Volume 1</b>		
<b>SG1</b>	<b>LAND USE COORDINATION OF ASPIRATIONS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SG2</b>	<b>MAINTAIN CITY DISTINCTIVENESS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SG3</b>	<b>MEET LAND NEEDS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SG4</b>	<b>PURSUIT OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SA1</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SA2</b>	<b>TRANSPORT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SA3</b>	<b>HOUSING</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SA4</b>	<b>LOCAL ECONOMY</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SA5</b>	<b>SHOPPING</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SA6</b>	<b>LEISURE and TOURISM</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SA7</b>	<b>URBAN REGENERATION</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SA8</b>	<b>ACCESS FOR ALL</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SA9</b>	<b>ASPIRATIONS FOR THE CITY CENTRE</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SP1</b>	<b>GREENSPACE PROTECTION PRINCIPLES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SP2</b>	<b>PROTECTION OF COUNTRYSIDE</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SP3</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT LOCATION STRATEGY</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies.

<b>SP4</b>	<b>TRANSPORT PRIORITIES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SP6</b>	<b>DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT LAND</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SP7</b>	<b>CITY AND TOWN CENTRE MAINTENANCE</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>SP8</b>	<b>CITY CENTRE POLICY</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Objectives and Spatial (SP) Policies (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>Chapter No and Name: 04 – GENERAL POLICIES: Volume 1</b>		
<b>GP1</b>	<b>LAND USE AND THE PROPOSALS MAP</b>	
<b>GP5</b>	<b>REQUIREMENT OF DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS</b>	
<b>GP6</b>	<b>UNIMPLEMENTED LOCAL PLAN PROPOSALS</b>	
<b>GP7</b>	<b>PLANNING OBLIGATIONS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy ID2 (but saved until CS Adoption)
<b>GP11</b>	<b>SUSTAINABILITY DESIGN PRINCIPLES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies EN2 and P10 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>GP12</b>	<b>SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT</b>	Superseded by Development Management Validation Requirements.
<b>Chapter No and Name: 05 – ENVIRONMENT: Volume 1</b>		
<b>N1</b>	<b>PROTECTION OF URBAN GREENSPACE</b>	
<b>N1A</b>	<b>PROTECTION OF ALLOTMENTS</b>	
<b>N2</b>	<b>GREENSPACE AND RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy G3 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>N3</b>	<b>GREENSPACE IN PRIORITY RESIDENTIAL AREAS</b>	
<b>N4</b>	<b>GREENSPACE HIERARCHY</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy G4 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>N5</b>	<b>IMPROVING ACQUISITION OF GREENSPACE</b>	
<b>N6</b>	<b>PROTECTION OF PLAYING PITCHES</b>	
<b>N7A</b>	<b>NEW PLAYING PITCH PROVISION</b>	
<b>N7B</b>	<b>PLAY PITCH DEFICIENCY</b>	
<b>N8</b>	<b>URBAN GREEN CORRIDORS</b>	
<b>N9</b>	<b>URBAN GREEN CORRIDORS AND DEVELOPMENT</b>	
<b>N10</b>	<b>PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY AND DEVELOPMENT</b>	Covered by separate legislation.
<b>N11</b>	<b>OPEN LAND IN BUILT UP AREAS</b>	
<b>N12</b>	<b>PRIORITIES FOR URBAN DESIGN</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy P10 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>N13</b>	<b>DESIGN AND NEW BUILDINGS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy P10 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>N14</b>	<b>LISTED BUILDING AND PRESERVATION</b>	
<b>N15</b>	<b>LISTED BUILDINGS AND CHANGE OF USE</b>	
<b>N16</b>	<b>LISTED BUILDINGS AND EXTENSIONS</b>	
<b>N17</b>	<b>LISTED BUILDINGS CHARACTER AND APPEARANCE</b>	

<b>N18A</b>	<b>CONSERVATION AREAS AND DEMOLITION</b>	
<b>N18B</b>	<b>CONSERVATION AREAS AND DEMOLITION</b>	
<b>N19</b>	<b>CONSERVATION AREAS NEW BUILDINGS</b>	
<b>N20</b>	<b>CONSERVATION AREAS AND RETENTION OF FEATURES</b>	
<b>N22</b>	<b>CONSERVATION AREAS AND ASSESSMENTS</b>	Covered by separate legislation
<b>N23</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT AN INCIDENTAL OPENSACE</b>	
<b>N24</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS NEXT TO GREEN BELT / CORRIDORS</b>	
<b>N25</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT AND SITE BOUNDARIES</b>	
<b>N26</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT AND LANDSCAPE SCHEMES</b>	Superseded by Development Management Validation Requirements
<b>N27</b>	<b>VACANT SITES AND LANDSCAPING SCHEMES</b>	
<b>N28</b>	<b>HISTORIC PARKS AND GARDENS</b>	
<b>N29</b>	<b>SITES OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPORTANCE</b>	
<b>N31</b>	<b>RECLAMATION OF DERELICT LAND</b>	Covered by separate legislation
<b>N32</b>	<b>GREEN BELT AND THE PROPOSALS MAP</b>	
<b>N33</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT IN THE GREEN BELT</b>	
<b>N34</b>	<b>SITES FOR LONG TERM DEVELOPMENT</b>	
<b>N35</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT AND AGRICULTURAL LAND</b>	
<b>N36</b>	<b>CHANGE OF USE OF RURAL BUILDINGS</b>	
<b>N37</b>	<b>SPECIAL LANDSCAPE AREAS</b>	
<b>N37A</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT IN THE COUNTRYSIDE</b>	
<b>N38A</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT AND FLOOD RISK</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy EN5 ((but saved until CS Adoption) and Natural Resources and Waste Local Plan (NRWLP) Policies Water 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.
<b>N38B</b>	<b>PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENTS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy EN5 (but saved until CS Adoption) and NRWLP Policy Water 6.
<b>N39A</b>	<b>SUSTAINABLE DRAINAGE SYSTEMS</b>	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Water 7.
<b>N39B</b>	<b>WATERCOURSES AND NEW DEVELOPMENT</b>	
<b>N41B</b>	<b>FOREST OF LEEDS AND PLANNING OBLIGATIONS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies ID1 and ID2 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>N43</b>	<b>INFORMAL OUTDOOR RECREATION</b>	
<b>N44</b>	<b>COUNTRYSIDE AND LEISURE DEVELOPMENT</b>	Superseded by NPPF
<b>N45</b>	<b>MINERAL WORKINGS</b>	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Minerals 10.
<b>N46</b>	<b>SAND AND GRAVEL RESERVES</b>	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Minerals 4.
<b>N46A</b>	<b>SAND AND GRAVEL IN THE WHARFE VALLEY</b>	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Minerals 5.
<b>N46B</b>	<b>SAND AND GRAVEL IN MIDGLEY FARM</b>	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Minerals 4.
<b>N47</b>	<b>WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES</b>	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 4 and 5.
<b>N48A</b>	<b>LANDFILL OPERATIONS IN SOUTH LEEDS</b>	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 10 and 11.
<b>N48B</b>	<b>LANDFILL SITES AND POLICY APPROACH</b>	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 10 and 11.



<b>N49</b>	<b>NATURE CONSERVATION</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy G8.
<b>N50</b>	<b>NATURE CONSERVATION AND PROTECTED SITES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy G7 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>N51</b>	<b>NATURE CONSERVATION AND ENHANCEMENT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy G8 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>N54</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF RENEWABLE ENERGY</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy EN3 (but saved until CS Adoption) and NRWLP Policies 1, 2, 3 and 4.
<b>Chapter No and Name: 06 – TRANSPORT: Volume 1</b>		
<b>T1</b>	<b>TRANSPORT INVESTMENT POLICY</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP 11 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>T2</b>	<b>TRANSPORT PROVISION FOR DEVELOPMENT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy T2.
<b>T2B</b>	<b>TRANSPORT ASSESSMENTS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy T2 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>T2C</b>	<b>TRAVEL PLAN</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy T2 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>T2D</b>	<b>PUBLIC TRANSPORT CONTRIBUTIONS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy T2 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>T5</b>	<b>PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLE PROVISION</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP11 and T2 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>T6</b>	<b>PROVISION FOR THE DISABLED</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP 11 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>T7</b>	<b>CYCLE ROUTES AND FACILITIES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP 11 and CC3 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>T7A</b>	<b>CYCLE PARKING GUIDELINES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy T2 (but saved until CS Adoption and Adoption of parking SPD).
<b>T7B</b>	<b>MOTOR CYCLE PARKING</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy T2 (but saved until CS Adoption and Adoption of parking SPD).
<b>T9</b>	<b>PUBLIC TRANSPORT SERVICE</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP 11
<b>T10</b>	<b>LOCAL RAIL NETWORK IMPROVEMENTS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP 11 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>T10A</b>	<b>SAFEGUARD FORMER RAIL LINES</b>	
<b>T11</b>	<b>NEW RAILWAY STATIONS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP 11 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>T12</b>	<b>NEW FORMS OF PUBLIC TRANSPORT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP 11 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>T13</b>	<b>SUPERTRAM AND PROTECTED ROUTES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP 11 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>T14</b>	<b>FURTHER CORRIDORS FOR MODERN FORMS OF PUBLIC TRANSPORT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP 11 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>T15</b>	<b>BUS PRIORITY MEASURES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP 11 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>T16</b>	<b>PARK and RIDE FACILITIES</b>	
<b>T17</b>	<b>PARK and RIDE SITES</b>	

<b>T18</b>	<b>STRATEGIC NETWORK: RESOURCES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP 11 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>T20</b>	<b>MAJOR HIGHWAY SCHEMES</b>	
<b>T21</b>	<b>NON STRATEGIC HIGHWAY SCHEMES</b>	
<b>T22</b>	<b>PRIORITY ON ROAD SAFETY PROBLEMS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP 11 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>T23</b>	<b>TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT AND CALMING MEASURES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP 11 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>T24</b>	<b>PARKING PROVISION AND NEW DEVELOPMENT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies T1 and T2 (but saved until CS Adoption and Adoption of Parking SPD.
<b>T24A</b>	<b>FREE STANDING LONG STAY CAR PARKING</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies T1 and T2 (but saved until CS Adoption and Adoption of Parking SPD.
<b>T26</b>	<b>CITY CENTRE AND LONG STAY CAR PARKING</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies T1 and T2 (but saved until CS Adoption and Adoption of Parking SPD.
<b>T27</b>	<b>TOWN CENTRES AND OFF STREET PARKING</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies T1 and T2 (but saved until CS Adoption and Adoption of Parking SPD.
<b>T28</b>	<b>GROWTH OF LONG STAY COMMUTER CAR PARKING</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies T1 and T2 (but saved until CS Adoption and Adoption of Parking SPD.
<b>T29</b>	<b>LORRY PARKING AND COACH LAYOVER</b>	
<b>T29A</b>	<b>LORRY PARKING AND COACH LAYOVER FACILITIES AT M1/EAST LEEDS LINK</b>	
<b>T30</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF LEEDS BRADFORD AIRPORT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP12 (but saved until CS Adoption).
<b>T31</b>	<b>RAIL AND CANAL FREIGHT LOCATIONS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP1 & EC1a and NRWLP Policy Minerals 13 (but saved until NRWLP Policy Minerals 13 adoption).
<b>Chapter No and Name: 07 – HOUSING: Volume 1</b>		
<b>H1</b>	<b>HOUSING SUPPLY REQUIREMENTS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP6 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>H2</b>	<b>ANNUAL MONITORING OF DWELLINGS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy ID1 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>H3</b>	<b>HOUSING LAND SUPPLY AND PHASING</b>	Superseded by Policy SP6 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption), H3 site allocations saved until adoption of Site Allocations plan.
<b>H4</b>	<b>WINDFALL DEVELOPMENT SITES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy H1 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>H9</b>	<b>SOCIAL HOUSING NEEDS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy H2.
<b>H10</b>	<b>SOCIAL HOUSING NEEDS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies H5, H6, H7 and H8.
<b>H11</b>	<b>AFFORDABLE HOUSING</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy H8 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>H12</b>	<b>AFFORDABLE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy H5 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>H13</b>	<b>AFFORDABLE HOUSING OBLIGATIONS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy H5 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).

<b>H14</b>	<b>AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN RURAL AREAS</b>	
<b>H15</b>	<b>STUDENT HOUSING</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy H6 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>H15A</b>	<b>STUDENT HOUSING DISPERSAL</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy H6 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>H16</b>	<b>TRAVELLERS AND SHOW PEOPLE</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy H7 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>H18</b>	<b>HOUSES IN MULTIPLE OCCUPANCY</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy H6 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>H20A</b>	<b>RESIDENTIAL INSTITUTIONS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy P9 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>H20B</b>	<b>HOSPITALS AND CLINICS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy P9 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>Chapter No and Name: 08 – THE LOCAL ECONOMY: Volume 1</b>		
<b>E1</b>	<b>RETENTION OF EXISTING FIRMS AND GROWTH OF NEW ECONOMIC SECTORS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP8 and EC3 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption)..
<b>E2</b>	<b>PORTFOLIO OF EMPLOYMENT SITES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP8 and SP9 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>E3A</b>	<b>RENEWAL OF PLANNING PERMISSIONS</b>	
<b>E3B</b>	<b>UNIMPLEMENTED EMPLOYMENT ALLOCATIONS</b>	
<b>E3C</b>	<b>COMMITTED EMPLOYMENT SITES</b>	
<b>E4</b>	<b>EMPLOYMENT ALLOCATIONS</b>	
<b>E5</b>	<b>UNALLOCATED EMPLOYMENT SITES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP8 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>E6</b>	<b>RECLAMATION OF EMPLOYMENT LAND</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP1, SP8 and EC1.
<b>E7</b>	<b>LOSS OF EMPLOYMENT LAND TO OTHER USES</b>	Superseded by Policy EC3 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>E8</b>	<b>KEY EMPLOYMENT SITES</b>	Superseded by Policy EC1.
<b>E10</b>	<b>TRANSPORT RELATED EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP1, SP8 and EC1 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>E14</b>	<b>OFFICE USE IN THE CITY CENTRE</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP1, SP3, SP8, EC2 and CC1.
<b>E15</b>	<b>PRESTIGE OFFICES AND FRINGE OF CITY CENTRE</b>	Superseded by Policy CC1 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>E16</b>	<b>OFFICE DEVELOPMENT IN TOWN CENTRES</b>	Superseded by Policy EC2.
<b>E17</b>	<b>OFFICE DEVELOPMENT IN TARGETED TOWN CENTRES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP2 and EC2 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>E18</b>	<b>KEY BUSINESS PARK SITES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP1, SP8, SP9 and EC2 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>E21</b>	<b>SCIENCE PARK DEVELOPMENT</b>	Superseded by Policy SP8 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>Chapter No and Name: 09 – SHOPPING: Volume 1</b>		
<b>S1</b>	<b>CITY CENTRE AS THE REGIONAL SHOPPING CENTRE</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP3, CC1 and T1 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).

<b>S2</b>	<b>VITALITY AND VIABILITY OF TOWN CENTRES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP2, P1, P2 and P9 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>S3</b>	<b>MAINTENANCE OF TOWN CENTRES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP1, SP2, SP11, P2, P9 and EC2 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>S3A</b>	<b>INSECURE TOWN CENTRES</b>	Superseded by Policy P5 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>S4</b>	<b>RETENTION OF RETAIL CHARACTER</b>	Saved due to link to retail frontages to be reviewed through Site Allocations plan.
<b>S5</b>	<b>MAJOR RETAIL DEVELOPMENT LOCATIONS (SEQUENTIAL TEST)</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies P4 and P8 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>S6</b>	<b>RETAIL (CONVENIENCE GOODS) DEVELOPMENT IN AREAS OF DEFICIENCY</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies P4, P5 and P8.
<b>S8</b>	<b>NEIGHBOURHOOD SHOPPING AREAS</b>	Superseded by Policy P3, P4 and P8 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>S9</b>	<b>SMALL RETAIL DEVELOPMENTS (SEQUENTIAL TEST)</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies P4 and P8 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>Chapter No and Name: 10 – LEISURE AND TOURISM: Volume 1</b>		
<b>LT3</b>	<b>ATTRACTIONS AND FACILITIES IN THE CITY CENTRE</b>	
<b>LT4</b>	<b>CULTURAL AND SPORTING FACILITIES LOCATIONS</b>	
<b>LT5</b>	<b>PURPOSE BUILT EXHIBITIONS. CONCERTS AND CONFERENCE FACILITIES</b>	
<b>LT5A</b>	<b>ELLAND ROAD FOOTBALL STADIUMS</b>	
<b>LT5B</b>	<b>LEISURE AND TOURISM FACILITIES SITES</b>	
<b>LT6</b>	<b>WATERWAYS CORRIDORS AND TOURISM</b>	
<b>LT6A</b>	<b>WATERWAYS AND LEISURE DEVELOPMENTS</b>	
<b>LT6B</b>	<b>WATERWAYS AND PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY</b>	
<b>Chapter No and Name: 11 – AREA BASED INITIATIVES and REGENERATION: Volume 1</b>		
<b>R1</b>	<b>SPECIAL POLICY AREAS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP4 and SP5 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>R2</b>	<b>PROPOSED AREA BASED INITIATIVES</b>	
<b>R3</b>	<b>COMPULSORY PURCHASE ORDERS</b>	
<b>R4</b>	<b>THE “POWER OF WELLBEING” AND REGENERATION</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy ID1 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>R5</b>	<b>TRAINING AND PATHWAYS TO EMPLOYMENT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP4 and SP8 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>Chapter No and Name: 12 – ACCESS FOR ALL: Volume 1</b>		
<b>A1</b>	<b>IMPROVING ACCESS FOR ALL</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP4 and P9 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>A4</b>	<b>SAFETY AND SECURITY PROVISION</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies H8 and P10 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).

<b>Chapter No and Name: 13 – CITY CENTRE: Volume 1</b>		
<b>CC1</b>	<b>CITY CENTRE AND PLANNING OBLIGATIONS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy ID2 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC2</b>	<b>CITY CENTRE BOUNDARY AND POLICY AREA</b>	
<b>CC3</b>	<b>CITY CENTRE CHARACTER</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies P10 and P11 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>C4</b>	<b>CITY CENTRE GATEWAY DEVELOPMENTS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies P10 and CC2 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC5</b>	<b>CITY CENTRE CONSERVATION AREA</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies P10 and P11 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC6</b>	<b>HIGH BUILDING DEVELOPMENT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy P10 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC7</b>	<b>REDEVELOPMENT OF TOWER BLOCKS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy P10 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC8</b>	<b>NEW DEVELOPMENT OUTSIDE PRESTIGE DEVELOPMENT AREAS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies P10 and P11 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC9</b>	<b>EXISTING PUBLIC SPACE</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies G3 and G5 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC10</b>	<b>PUBLIC SPACE AND LEVEL OF PROVISION</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP3 and G5 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC11</b>	<b>STREET AND PEDESTRIAN CORRIDORS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP3.
<b>CC12</b>	<b>PUBLIC SPACE AND CONNECTIVITY</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP3 and CC3 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC13</b>	<b>PUBLIC SPACE AND DESIGN CRITERIA</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy P10 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC14</b>	<b>CITY CENTRE TRANSPORT PROPOSALS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy T1 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC17</b>	<b>LOCATIONS FOR SHORT STAY PARKING</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies T1 and T2 but retain until adoption of CS and parking SPD.
<b>CC19</b>	<b>OFFICE DEVELOPMENT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP3, SP8, SP3, CC1 and CC2 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC20</b>	<b>UNIMPLEMENTED OFFICE DEVELOPMENT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP3 and CC2 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC21</b>	<b>RETAIL DEVELOPMENT</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP3 and CC2 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC22</b>	<b>CITY CENTRE AND SHOPPING FRONTAGE POLICY</b>	
<b>CC23</b>	<b>RETENTION OF INDUSTRIAL AND DISTRIBUTION USES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP8 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).



<b>CC24</b>	<b>BAD NEIGHBOUR AND LARGE SCALE INDUSTRIAL USES</b>	
<b>CC26</b>	<b>LEISURE AND TOURISM FACILITIES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP3 and CC2 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC27</b>	<b>PRINCIPAL USES QUARTERS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy CC1 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC28</b>	<b>RIVERSIDE AREA AND LAND USES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy CC2 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC29</b>	<b>MIXED USE IN MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP3 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC30</b>	<b>PROPOSALS OUTSIDE DEFINED AREAS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy SP3 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC31</b>	<b>PRESTIGE DEVELOPMENT AREAS</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy CC1 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>CC31 A</b>	<b>HOLBECK URBAN VILLAGE STRATEGIC HOUSING AND MIXED USE SITE</b>	
<b>Chapter No and Name: 14 - AIREBOROUGH, HORSFORTH AND BRAMHOPE: VOLUME I</b>		
<b>H3-1A.21</b>	<b>WEST LEA FARM, YEADON</b>	
<b>H3-1A.39</b>	<b>WESTBROOK LANE/BROWNBERRIE LANE, HORSFORTH</b>	
<b>E4:1, E8:1</b>	<b>HARROGATE ROAD / WARREN HOUSE LANE, YEADON</b>	
<b>E4:2</b>	<b>WHITE HOUSE LANE, YEADON</b>	
<b>E4:4</b>	<b>GREEN LANE, YEADON</b>	
<b>E4:5 and E8:2</b>	<b>LOW MILLS, GUISELEY</b>	
<b>T30:14.2.7</b>	<b>AIRPORT OPERATIONAL LAND BOUNDARY</b>	
<b>T30A</b>	<b>LEEDS / BRADFORD AIRPORT AND RELATED USES</b>	
<b>T30B</b>	<b>AIRPORT PUBLIC SAFETY ZONES</b>	
<b>T30C</b>	<b>AERODROME SAFEGUARDING AREA</b>	
<b>N15:14.2.10</b>	<b>HIGH ROYDS HOSPITAL, GUISELEY</b>	
<b>N5:14.2.11</b>	<b>HORSFORTH SEWAGE WORKS</b>	
<b>N5:14.2.12</b>	<b>SWAINE WOOD, HORSFORTH</b>	
<b>N5:14.2.13</b>	<b>YEADON TARN</b>	
<b>N34:1</b>	<b>BREARY LANE EAST, BRAMHOPE</b>	
<b>N34:2</b>	<b>LAND AT CANADA ROAD, RAWDON</b>	
<b>N34:3</b>	<b>HAW LANE, YEADON</b>	
<b>Chapter No and Name: 15 - EAST LEEDS: VOLUME I</b>		
<b>R1:15.2.1</b>	<b>GIPTON NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL AREA</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP4 and SP5 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>R1:15.2.2</b>	<b>HAREHILLS NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL AREA</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP4 and SP5 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
<b>R2:15.2.3</b>	<b>SEACROFT NEIGHBOURHOOD REGENERATION AREA</b>	

R2:15.2.4	SWARCLIFFE NEIGHBOURHOOD REGENERATION AREA	
R1:15.2.5	“AIRE VALLEY LEEDS” NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL AREA	Superseded by Core Strategy Policies SP4 and SP5 (but saved until Core Strategy Adoption).
R2:15.2.6	EAST BANK NEIGHBOURHOOD REGENERATION AREA	
R2:15.2.7	WYKEBECK VALLEY POLICY INITIATIVE AREA	
H3-1A:45	HUNSLET RIVERSIDE STRATEGIC HOUSING AND MIXED USE SITE	
H3-3A:33	EAST LEEDS EXTENSION	
H3-1A.22	OAK TREE/THORN SCHOOLS, GIPTON	
H3-1A.23	WATERLOO SIDINGS, OSMONDTHORPE	
H3-2A.3	RED HALL LANE, RED HALL	
H3-2A.4	REAR OF SEACROFT HOSPITAL, SEACROFT	
H3-2A.2	GRIMES DYKE, YORK ROAD, WHINMOOR	
H3-1A.37	KILLINGBECK HOSPITAL, YORK ROAD	
E4:6 and	AUSTHORPE	
E4:7 and	BULLERTHORPE LANE, COLTON	
E4:8	SOUTH OF KNOWSTHORPE LANE, CROSS GREEN	
E4:9 and E10	EAST LEEDS LINK / KNOWSTHORPE, CROSS GREEN	
E4:10	MUSHROOM STREET, MABGATE	
E4:11 and	RED HALL LANE, RED HALL	
E4:44 and E10	SKELTON GRANGE	
E4:45 and	SKELTON BUSINESS PARK, PONTEFRACT LANE	
E4:46 and	SKELTON MOOR FARM	
N5:15.3.18	TEMPLE NEWSAM PARK EXTENSIONS	
N1, N5 and E4:6	AUSTHORPE PARK	
N11:8	LAND AT MEANWOOD VALLEY	
<b>Chapter No and Name: 16 - GARFORTH: VOLUME I</b>		
R2:16.2.1	ALLERTON BYWATER VILLAGE REGENERATION	
R2:16.2.2	MICKLEFIELD VILLAGE REGENERATION AREA	
H3-1A.42	ALLERTON BYWATER STRATEGIC HOUSING SITE	
H3-3A.20	QUEEN STREET, WOODEND, ALLERTON BYWATER	
H3-3A.31	SOUTH OF OLD MICKLEFIELD	
H3-3A.27	SELBY ROAD/NINELANDS LANE, GARFORTH	
H3-3A.32	MANOR FARM, MICKLEFIELD	
H3-3A.29	BARROWBY LANE, GARFORTH	
E4:13 and	NORTH NEWHOLD, GARFORTH	
E4:12	STATION ROAD / PARK LANE, ALLERTON BYWATER	
LT5B:3	PARLINGTON	
LT5B:6	BARROWBY HALL	
N34:8	LAND EAST OF SCHOLES	
N34:9	LAND AT SOUTH GARFORTH	
N34:10	PIT LANE, NEW MICKLEFIELD	
N34:12	MOORGATE, KIPPAX	
N34:39	WOOD LANE, SCHOLES	
N34:40	PARK LANE, ALLERTON BYWATER	

<b>Chapter No and Name: 17 - MORLEY: VOLUME I</b>		
H3-1A.24	MANOR HOUSE FARM, CHURWELL	
H3-1A.34	REIN ROAD, MORLEY	
H3-2A.5	BRUNTCLIFFE ROAD, MORLEY	
H3-2A.6	DAISY HILL, MORLEY	
E4:14	NEPSHAW LANE / ASQUITH AVENUE, GILDERSOME	
E4:40	LINGWELL GATE LANE, THORPE	
E4:42	TINGLEY COMMON, MORLEY	
E4:47	BRUNTCLIFFE ROAD, MORLEY	
N5:17.2.11	LAND AT MOOR HEAD MILLS, GILDERSOME	
N5:17.2.12	LAND ADJACENT TO DEANFIELD MILL, MORLEY	
N5:17.2.13	LAND AT BANTAM GROVE LANE, MORLEY	
N11:3	LAND AT HAIGH WOOD, WEST ARDSLEY	
N34:13	LAND AT LOW MOOR FARM, MORLEY	
N34:20	WEST OF CHURWELL (MANOR HOUSE FARM)	
N34:14	LAND AT TINGLEY STATION, MORLEY	
N34:15	LAND AT SPRING GARDENS, DRIFHLINGTON	
N34:16	NEW LANE, EAST ARDSLEY	
N34:17	BRADFORD ROAD, EAST ARDSLEY	
N34:18	LANE SIDE FARM, CHURWELL	
N34:19	OWLERS FARM, MORLEY	
<b>Chapter No and Name: 18 - NORTH LEEDS: VOLUME I</b>		
R2:18.2	HOLT PARK DISTRICT CENTRE	
H3-1A.25 and E4:15	CHAPEL ALLERTON HOSPITAL, HAREHILLS LANE	
H3-1A.26	CHURCHWOOD AVENUE, WEST PARK	
H3-2A.7	CHURCH LANE, ADEL	
H3-1A.27	SHADWELL BOYS' SCHOOL, SHADWELL LANE, MOORTOWN	
H3-1A.35	EAST MOOR, TILE LANE, ADEL	
E4:17 and	BODDINGTON HALL PLAYING FIELDS, LAWNSWOOD	
E4:18 and	WOODSIDE QUARRY, WEST PARK	
N5:18.3.10	TILE LANE, ADEL	
N11:5	LAND AT OUTER RING ROAD, MOORTOWN	
N34:21	MOSELEY BOTTOM, COOKRIDGE	
N34:22	CHURCH LANE, ADEL	
<b>Chapter No and Name: 19 - OTLEY AND MID WHARFEDALE: VOLUME I</b>		
H3-3A.21	RUMPLECROFT, OTLEY	
H3-1A.28	SWALLOW DRIVE, POOL IN WHARFEDALE	
N32 and H3- 3A.22	VILLAGE FARM, HAREWOOD	
H3-3A.30 and E4:20	EAST OF OTLEY	
E4:19	EAST CHEVIN ROAD / LEEDS ROAD, OTLEY	
N34:23	WEST OF POOL IN WHARFEDALE	
N46B:19.2.10	MIDGLEY FARM, OTLEY	
<b>Chapter No and Name: 20 - PUDSEY: VOLUME I</b>		
H3-3A.23	BAGLEY LANE, FARSLEY	
H3-2A.9	DELPH END, PUDSEY	
E4:21 and E8:9	TYERSAL LANE, TYERSAL	



LT5B:5	DICK LANE, PUDSEY	
N5:20.2.7	OWLCTES HILL	
N5:20.2.8	RODLEY SEWAGE WORKS	
N5:20.2.9	FORMER GASWORKS SITE, CALVERLEY BRIDGE	
N11:1 and	LAND AT OWLCOTES HILL, PUDSEY AND COAL HILL, RODLEY	
N34:24	HILL FOOT FARM, PUDSEY	
N34:25	CALVERLEY LANE, FARSLEY	
N34:26	KIRKLEES KNOWL, FARSLEY	
<b>Chapter No and Name: 21 - ROTHWELL: VOLUME I</b>		
H3-1A.29	MICKLETOWN ROAD, METHLEY	
H3-1A.38	ST GEORGE'S HOSPITAL, WOOD LANE, ROTHWELL	
H3-3A.28	MILNER LANE, LEEDS ROAD, ROBIN HOOD	
E4:25	PONTEFRACT ROAD, BELL HILL (NORTH), STOURTON	
E4:26 and	PONTEFRACT ROAD, BELL HILL (SOUTH), STOURTON	
E4:27	VALLEY FARM ROAD, STOURTON	
E4:49	HAIGH PARK ROAD / PONTEFRACT ROAD, STOURTON	
LT6A	FLEET LANE, OULTON	
N5:21.2.10	ROTHWELL PASTURES	
N5:21.2.11	OUZLEWELL GREEN LANE, LOFTHOUSE	
N34:27	GREENLAND FARM, OULTON	
N34:28	ROYDS LANE, ROTHWELL	
N34:29	PITFIELD ROAD, CARLTON	
N34:30	MICKLETOWN ROAD, METHLEY	
<b>Chapter No and Name: 22 - SOUTH LEEDS: VOLUME I</b>		
R2:22.2.1	BEESTON HILL/HOLBECK NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL AREA	
R2:22.2.2	HUNSLET NEIGHBOURHOOD REGENERATION AREA	
H3-1A.43	SHARP LANE STRATEGIC HOUSING SITE	
E4:28, E18:8, LT5B:1 and T17:	STOURTON NORTH, HUNSLET	
E4:29 and	GELDERED ROAD / RIG ROAD, HOLBECK	
E4:30	ELLAND ROAD, BEESTON	
E4:41	THORPE HALL, THORPE ON THE HILL	
T21:1	HIGHWAYS IMPROVEMENTS	
LT5A	ELLAND ROAD, BEESTON	
LT5B:2	MIDDLETON, BROOM	
<b>Chapter No and Name: 23 - WEST LEEDS: VOLUME I</b>		
R2:23.2.1	LITTLE LONDON REGENERATION AREA	
H3-1A.31	MOUNT CROSS, BRAMLEY	
H3-1A.32	BLUE HILL LANE, WORTLEY	
H3-1A.36	FORMER THORNHILL MIDDLE SCHOOL AND PLAYING FIELDS, WORTLEY	
E4:32	CHELSEA CLOSE, WORTLEY	
E4:33	WORTLEY MOOR ROAD, WORTLEY	
E4:34	COTTINGLEY SPRINGS, GELDERD ROAD, WORTLEY	
E4:35	GELDERD ROAD, WORTLEY	
E4:36	ROYDS LANE, WORTLEY	
S6A	STONEBRIDGE MILLS, RING ROAD, FARNLEY	
N8 and N9	KIRKSTALL VALLEY PARK PLAN	

N5:23.3.14	CABBAGE HILL, WORTLEY	
N5:23.3.15	FORMER POWER STATION SITE, REDCOTE LANE, ARMLEY	
N5:23.3.16	MEANWOOD BECKSIDE, ADJACENT GROVE WORKS, MEANWOOD ROAD, MEANWOOD	
N11:7	LAND TO THE SOUTH OF BRIDGE ROAD, KIRKSTALL / ARMLEY	
N34:31	LOW MOOR SIDE, NEW FARNLEY	
<b>Chapter No and Name: 24 - WETHERBY: VOLUME I</b>		
RL1	RURAL LAND NORTH OF THE RIVER WHARFE	
H3-3A.24	WOODACRE GREEN, BARDSEY	
H3-3A.25	CHURCH FIELDS, BOSTON SPA	
H3-1A.33	BOWCLIFFE ROAD, BRAMHAM	
H3-3A.26	THORNER LANE, SCARCROFT	
E4:37	SANDBECK LANE, WETHERBY	
S6C	MICKLETHWAITE FARM, WETHERBY	
N34:32	GREEN LANE/GROVE ROAD, BOSTON SPA	
N34:33	LEEDS ROAD, COLLINGHAM	
N34:35	WEST PARK, BOSTON SPA	
N34:34	SPOFFORTH HILL, WETHERBY	
N34:36	CHAPEL LANE, CLIFFORD	
N34:37	THE RIDGE, LINTON	
<b>Chapter No and Name: A03 - BUILDING DESIGN, CONSERVATION AND LANDSCAPE DESIGN: VOLUME 2</b>		
BD2	DESIGN AND SITING OF NEW BUILDINGS	
BD3	DISABLED ACCESS NEW BUILDINGS	
BD4	PLANT EQUIPMENT AND SERVICE AREAS	
BD5	AMENITY AND NEW BUILDINGS	
BD6	ALTERATIONS AND EXTENSIONS	
BD7	SHOP FRONTS AND SECURITY MEASURES	
BD8	DESIGN AND LOCATION OF SIGNS	
BD9	PROJECTING AND ILLUMINATED SIGNS	
BD10	BANNERS AND TEMPORARY ADVERTISING	
BD11	BLINDS FORMS AND DESIGN	
BD12	ADVERTISEMENT HOARDINGS	
BD14	FLOODLIGHTING	
BD15	PUBLIC ART	
BC7	DEVELOPMENT IN CONSERVATION AREAS	
BC8	DEMOLITION OF BUILDINGS IN CONSERVATION AREAS	
LD1	LANDSCAPING SCHEMES	
LD2	NEW AND ALTERED ROADS	
<b>Chapter No and Name: A04 - ARCHAEOLOGY POLICIES VOLUME 2</b>		
ARC1	SCHEDULED ANCIENT MONUMENTS	
ARC4	PRESERVATION OF CLASS I and II AREAS	
ARC5	PLANNING DECISIONS AND CLASS I, II and III AREAS	
ARC6	PRESERVATION BY RECORD	
ARC7	HISTORIC LANDSCAPES	
ARC8	MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS	

Chapter No and Name: A05 - CONTROL OF DEVELOPMENT IN THE GREEN BELT		
GB2	INFILLING THE GREENBELT	
GB3	CHANGE OF USE FOR A BUILDING OF HISTORIC OR ARCHITECTURAL INTEREST	
GB4	CHANGE OF USE OF BUILDINGS	
GB7	MAJOR DEVELOPED SITES IN THE GREEN BELT	
GB9	REDEVELOPMENT OF BUILDINGS	
GB12	RETAIL DEVELOPMENT IN THE GREEN BELT	
GB13	STABLES AND EQUESTRIAN DEVELOPMENT	
GB17	CRITERIA FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN THE GREEN BELT	
GB19	OUTDOOR SPORT AND RECREATION	
GB20	BUILDINGS FOR SPORT AND RECREATION	
GB21	HOLIDAY ACCOMMODATION	
GB22	HOLIDAY ACCOMMODATION AND MINOR WORKS	
GB23	STORAGE OF CARAVANS IN THE GREEN BELT	
GB24	ALLOTMENT GARDENS IN THE GREEN BELT	
GB25	GARDEN EXTENSIONS INTO THE GREEN BELT	
Chapter No and Name: A06 - MINERALS: VOLUME 2		
GM4	SAFEGUARDING OF MINERAL RESOURCES	Superseded by NRWLP Policies Minerals 2 and 3.
GM4A	SAFEGUARDING OF CLAY RESOURCES	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Minerals 6.
EM9	COAL EXTRACTION AND THE ENVIRONMENT	Superseded by NRWLP Policies Minerals 8 and 9.
Chapter No and Name: A07 - WASTE MANAGEMENT: VOLUME 2		
WM1	SUSTAINABLE WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES	Superseded by NRWLP Policies Waste 3, 4, 8 and 9.
WM2	WASTE HIERARCHY	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 1.
WM3	REDUCE AND RE-USE OF WASTE	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 1.
WM4	RECOVERY OF WASTE	Superseded by NRWLP Policies Waste 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9.
WM5	WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES: PERMANENT USES	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 4.
WM6	WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES: PROXIMITY OF OTHER WASTE AND MINERAL EXTRACTION OPERATIONS	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 6.
WM7	WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES: COMPOSTING OF GREEN WASTE	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 8.
WM8	WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES: POTENTIAL ISSUES AND IMPACTS	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 9.
WM9	WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES: SITE ENTRANCES	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 9.
WM10	WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES: RECYCLING AND THE TRANSFERRING OF WASTE	Superseded by NRWLP Policies Waste 3 and 9.
WM11	WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES: STORAGE IN THE OPEN AREAS	Superseded by NRWLP Policies Waste 3 and 9.
WM13	WASTE DISPOSAL SITES	Superseded by NRWLP Policies Waste 2, 3, 4 and 9.
WM14	WASTE DISPOSAL: LANDRAISING BY DEPOSIT OF WASTE MATERIALS	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 11.

<b>WM15</b>	<b>WASTE DISPOSAL: AREAS OF NATURE CONSERVATION</b>	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 9.
<b>WM16</b>	<b>WASTE DISPOSAL: FINAL GRADIENTS AT LANDFILL SITES</b>	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 11.
<b>WM17</b>	<b>WASTE DISPOSAL: LANDFILL AND LANDRAISING OF SITES</b>	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 11.
<b>WM18</b>	<b>WASTE DISPOSAL: GAS EMISSIONS AND CONTROL MEASURES</b>	Superseded by NRWLP Policy Waste 9.
<b>Chapter No and Name: A08 - SCHEDULE OF LEEDS NATURE CONSERVATION SITES: VOLUME 2</b>		
<b>A8</b>	<b>NATURE CONSERVATION SITES</b>	
<b>Chapter No and Name: A09A - SCHEDULE OF GENERAL CAR PARKING GUIDELINES: VOLUME 2</b>		
<b>A9A</b>	<b>CAR PARKING GUIDELINES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy T2 but saved until Adoption of Core Strategy and parking SPD.
<b>Chapter No and Name: A09B - CITY CENTRE COMMUTER PARKING: VOLUME 2</b>		
<b>CCP1</b>	<b>COMMUTER CAR PARKING AND B1 OFFICES</b>	Superseded by CS Policy T1 and T2 but saved until Adoption of CS and parking SPD.
<b>CCP2</b>	<b>COMMUTER CAR PARKING AND VACANT SITES</b>	Superseded by the interim City Centre Commuter Car Parking Policy (CCCCP) and Core Strategy Policy T1 but saved until Adoption of CS and parking SPD
<b>CCP3</b>	<b>PARKING PERMIT SCHEMES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy T1 but saved until Adoption of CS and parking SPD
<b>Chapter No and Name: A09C – CYCLE PARKING GUIDELINES: VOLUME 2</b>		
<b>A9C</b>	<b>MOTORCYCLE PARKING GUIDELINES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy T2 but saved until Adoption of CS and parking SPD.
<b>Chapter No and Name: A09D - MOTORCYCLE PARKING GUIDELINES: VOLUME 2</b>		
<b>A9D</b>	<b>MOTORCYCLE PARKING GUIDELINES</b>	Superseded by Core Strategy Policy T2 but saved until Adoption of CS and parking SPD.

Chapter No and Name: A12 - SHOPPING FRONTAGE POLICIES VOLUME 2		
SF1A	NON RETAIL USES WITHIN SHOPPING FRONTAGES	Saved until adoption of Site Allocations plan.
SF1B	VACANT FLOORSACE AND NON RETAIL USE	Saved until adoption of Site Allocations plan.
SF2	CITY CENTRE PROPORTION OF NON RETAIL USE	Saved until adoption of Site Allocations plan.
SF3	CITY CENTRE SECONDARY FRONTAGES	Saved until adoption of Site Allocations plan.
SF5	CITY CENTRE FRINGE FRONTAGES	Saved until adoption of Site Allocations plan.
SF6	CITY CENTRE OTHER PROTECTED FRINGES	Saved until adoption of Site Allocations plan.
SF7	S2 CENTRES PRIMARY FRONTAGES	Saved until adoption of Site Allocations plan.
SF8	S2 CENTRES SECONDARY FRONTAGES	Saved until adoption of Site Allocations plan.
SF9	NON RETAIL USE AND RESIDUAL SHOPPING AREAS	Saved until adoption of Site Allocations plan.
SF10A	NON RETAIL USES AND OTHER FRONTAGES	Saved until adoption of Site Allocations plan.
SF10B	LARGE RETAIL STORES TO NON RETAIL USE	Saved until adoption of Site Allocations plan.
SF13	AMUSEMENT CENTERS AND ARCADES	
SF14	TAXI AND PRIVATE HIRE OFFICES	
SF15	HOT FOOD TAKE AWAYS	Superseded by P3, P4, P8 (but saved until Adoption of CS).
Chapter No and Name: A14 - AIREBOROUGH, HORSFORTH AND BRAMHOPE: VOLUME 2		
H3-3A.1	VICTORIA AVENUE, HORSFORTH	
N05:A14.1	OAKFORD, OAKFIELD TERRACE, HORSFORTH	
GP6 (1)	PLANE TREE HILL AND RAWDON COMMON	
H3-2A.1	GREENLEA CLOSE, YEADON	
H3-3A.9	NETHERFIELD ROAD, GUISELEY	
H3-1A.1	BACK LANE, GUISELEY	
E3C (1)	GHYLL ROAD, GUISELEY	
Chapter No and Name: A15 - EAST LEEDS: VOLUME 2		
H3-1A.18	THE GLENSDALES, RICHMOND HILL, (2.3 HA)	
E3C (2)	CROSS GREEN INDUSTRIAL ESTATE	
E3C (3)	LOW FOLD RICHMOND HILL	
E3C (4)	HAWTHORN FARM, WHINMOOR	
E3C (5)	COLTON MILL, BULLERTHORPE LANE, COLTON	

E3C (6)	MANSTON LANE INDUSTRIAL ESTATE	
E3C (7)	MANSTON LANE, MANSTON	
<b>Chapter No and Name: A16 – GARFORTH: VOLUME 2</b>		
N5:A16.1	MINERS WELFARE LAND, ALLERTON BYWATER	
E3B (4)	NEWHOLD, GARFORTH	
E3B (5)	ABERFORD ROAD, GARFORTH	
E3B (6)	PECKFIELD COLLIERY (EAST) , MICKLEFIELD	
N5:A14.1	BRIGSHAW LANE, KIPPAX	
N5:A16.1	WELLAND DRIVE KENNET LANE, GARFORTH	
GP6 (4)	HANOVER SQUARE, CHURCH LANE CAR PARK	
E3C (8)	PARKINSON APPROACH, OFF LOTHERTON WAY, GARFORTH	
E3C (9)	NEWHOLD, GARFORTH	
<b>Chapter No and Name: A17 - MORLEY: VOLUME 2</b>		
H3-1A.2	WAKEFIELD ROAD, DRIGHLINGTON	
H3-3A.2	WHITEHALL ROAD, DRIGHLINGTON	
H3-1A.3	STATION ROAD, DRIGHLINGTON	
N5:A17.1	MARGESTON ROAD, DRIGHLINGTON	
GP6 (5)	ADWALTON COMMON, DRIGHLINGTON	
H3-1A.4	SCOTT GREEN, GILDERSOME	
H3-3A.3	REEDSDALE GARDENS, GILDERSOME	
N5:A17.1	HIGHFIELD GARDENS, GILDERSOME	
N5:A17.1	STREET LANE / WOODHEAD LANE, GILDERSOME	
E3B (7)	GILDERSOME SPUR, GILDERSOME	
N5:A17.1	REAR OF HARWILL APPROACH, CHURWELL	
N5:A17.1	THE FORMER PIT, REAR OF HEPWORTH AVENUE, CHURWELL	
H3-1A.5	CHAPEL STREET, MORLEY TOWN	
E3B (9)	BRUNTCLIFFE LANE, MORLEY	
N5:A17.1	DAISY HILL AVENUE, MORLEY	
N5:A17.1	HARROP AVENUE, MORLEY	
GP6 (16)	BRITANNIA QUARRIES, MORLEY	
GP6 (17)	WEST OF REIN ROAD, DRIGHLINGTON	
H3-1A.6	WESTERTON ROAD, WEST ARDSLEY	
H3-3A.4	HAIGH MOOR ROAD, WEST ARDSLEY	
H3-1A.7	WOOLIN CRESCENT (THE NOOK), WEST ARDSLEY	
H3-3A.5	FALL LANE, EAST ARDSLEY	
N5:A17.1	QUEEN STREET / GORDON STREET, EAST ARDSLEY	
N5:A17.1	NORTH OF COMMON LANE, EAST ARDSLEY	
E3C (10)	HOWLEY PARK INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, MORLEY	
<b>Chapter No and Name: A18 - NORTH LEEDS: VOLUME 2</b>		
H3-1A.8	DUNSTARN LANE, ADEL	
H3-3A.6	SILK MILL DRIVE, COOKRIDGE	
H3-1A.9	MEANWOOD PARK HOSPITAL	
E3B (12)	PARKSTONE AVENUE AND THE RING ROAD, WEST PARK	
N5:A18.1	HOLT LANE, ADEL	
N5:A18.1	WOODSIDE QUARRY, WEST PARK	
N5:A18.1	WEST PARK, WEST LEEDS	
<b>Chapter No and Name: A20 - PUDSEY: VOLUME 2</b>		
H3-3A.7	CHERRY TREE DRIVE, FARSLEY	
H3-3A.8	CHERRY TREE CRESCENT, FARSLEY	

H3-1A.10	HOUGH SIDE ROAD, PUDSEY	
H3-3A.10	LUMBY LANE, PUDSEY	
H3-1A.41	HARE LANE, PUDSEY	
H3-3A.11	ROBIN LANE, PUDSEY	
H3-1A.11	THE LANES, PUDSEY	
E3B (14)	LANE END TERRACE, PUDSEY	
GP6 (24)	LOWTOWN, PUDSEY	
N5:A20.1	UPPERMOOR QUARRIES, PUDSEY	
N5:A20.1	COAL HILL LANE, RODLEY	
E3B (16)	SWINNOW LANE INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, STANNINGLEY	
E3B (17)	STANNINGLEY STATION, STANNINGLEY	
N5:A20.1	HOUGH END, SWINNOW	
H3-3A.12	CHARITY FARM, SWINNOW	
N5:A20.1	PRIESTHORPE, WOODHALL	
H3-2A.08	PUDSEY ROAD, SWINNOW	
E3C (11)	ROUND HILL, WATERLOO ROAD, PUDSEY	
<b>Chapter No and Name: A21 - ROTHWELL: VOLUME 2</b>		
H3-1A.12	MAIN STREET, CARLTON	
H3-3A.34	MATTY LANE, ROBIN HOOD	
H3-1A.14	HALFWAY HOUSE, ROBIN HOOD	
H3-3A.13	MAIN STREET MICKLETOWN	
GP6 (28)	METHLEY JUNCTION COLLIERY, METHLE	
H3-1A.40	WEST SIDE OF BUTCHER LANE, ROTHWELL TOWN	
E3B (20)	PONTEFRACT ROAD / CINDER OVEN BRIDGE, STOURTON	
H3-1A.15 and H3-	POTTERY LANE, WOODLESFORD	
E3C (12)	THWAITE LANE, STOURTON	
<b>Chapter No and Name: A22 - SOUTH LEEDS: VOLUME 2</b>		
H3-3A.16	WEST GRANGE ROAD, BELLE ISLE	
H3-3A.17	URN FARM, BELLE ISLE	
H3-1A.19	RING ROAD, MIDDLETON	
H3-3A.18	THROSTLE GROVE, MIDDLETON	
E3C (13)	GELDERD ROAD SOUTH LEEDS	
E3C (14)	MIDDLETON GROVE, HUNSLET	
E3C (15)	MIDDLETON GROVE, HUNSLET	
E3C (16)	WESTLAND ROAD, BEESTON	
E3C (17)	PARKSIDE LANE, BEESTON	
E3C (18)	BROWN LANE, HOLBECK	
E3C (19)	HUNSLET BUSINESS PARK	
E3C (20)	CARLISLE ROAD, HUNSLET	
E3C (21)	PEARSON STREET, HUNSLET	
E3C (22)	HOLME WELL ROAD, MIDDLETON	
E3C (23)	MILLSHAW NORTH, MILLSHAW	
<b>Chapter No and Name: A23 - WEST LEEDS: VOLUME 2</b>		
E3C (24)	TONG ROAD / AMBERLEY ROAD, ARMLEY	
E3C (25)	CARR CROFTS, ARMLEY	
E3C (26)	BURLEY PLACE / WEAVER STREET, KIRKSTALL	
E3C (28)	OLDFIELD LANE, COPLEY HILL, NEW WORTLEY	
E3A and E8 (13)	WHITEHALL ROAD, WORTLEY	

<b>Chapter No and Name: A24 - WETHERBY: VOLUME 2</b>		
<b>H3-1A.16</b>	<b>PRIMROSE LANE, BOSTON SPA</b>	
<b>H3-3A.15</b>	<b>MOSES SYKE, SCARCROFT</b>	
<b>N5:A24.1</b>	<b>QUARRY HILL LANE, WETHERBY</b>	
<b>Chapter No and Name: A26 - SPECIAL LANDSCAPE AREAS: VOLUME 2</b>		
<b>N37:A26</b>	<b>SPECIAL LANDSCAPE AREAS</b>	



## **HEALTH AND WELLBEING BOARD**

**WEDNESDAY, 22ND OCTOBER, 2014**

**PRESENT:** Councillor L Mulherin in the Chair

Councillors J Blake, N Buckley, S Golton,  
and A Ogilvie

### **Representatives of the Clinical Commissioning Groups**

Dr Jason Broch – Leeds North CCG  
Dr Andrew Harris – Leeds South and East CCG  
Dr Gordon Sinclair – Leeds West CCG  
Nigel Gray – Leeds North CCG  
Matt Ward – Leeds South and East CCG

### **Directors of Leeds City Council**

Dr Ian Cameron – Director of Public Health  
Sandie Keene – Director of Adult Social Services  
Nigel Richardson – Director of Children's Services

### **Representative of NHS (England)**

Moira Dummer – NHS England

### **Third Sector Representative**

Susie Brown – Zest – Health for Life

### **Representative of Local Health Watch Organisation**

Linn Phipps – Healthwatch Leeds  
Tania Matilainen – Healthwatch Leeds

### **Representatives of NHS Providers**

Chris Butler – Leeds and York Partnership NHS Foundation Trust  
Julian Hartley – Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust  
Thea Stein – Leeds Community Healthcare NHS Trust

## **26 Chairs Opening remarks**

The Chair welcomed all present to the meeting, particularly to the three new NHS representatives who had been nominated to the Health and Wellbeing Board (HWB). Brief introductions were made.

Councillor Mulherin also paid tribute to and thanked the Director of Adult Social Services, Sandie Keene, for her services to the city, as this would be the final Health and Wellbeing Board meeting in which she would be in attendance prior to her retirement.

**RESOLVED** – To note the appointment of the following:

Chris Butler - Leeds and York Partnership NHS Foundation Trust  
Julian Hartley - Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust  
Thea Stein - Leeds Community Healthcare NHS Trust

Draft minutes to be approved at the meeting  
to be held on Wednesday, 4th February, 2015

**27 Late Items**

One formal late item of business had been added to the agenda at the request of the Chair: - "Proposed Congenital Heart Disease Standards and Service Specifications". (Minute 38 refers).

Additionally, a revised copy of Appendix A to the report "Commissioning Primary Care Services in Leeds 2014-16" had been despatched to the Board prior to the meeting (minute 33 refers)

**28 Declarations of Disclosable Pecuniary Interests**

The following declarations of interest were made:

Linn Phipps (Healthwatch Leeds) – Late Item "Proposed Congenital Heart Disease Standards and Service Specification" - as a member of NHS England Clinical Priority Advisory Group which had provided comments on the specifications (minute 38 refers)

Gordon Sinclair (Leeds West CCG) and Jason Broch (Leeds North CCG) - agenda item 9 Commissioning Primary care Services in Leeds - as General Practice had a role within the commissioning of services (minute 33 refers)

**29 Apologies for Absence**

Apologies for absence were received from Phil Corrigan (Leeds West CCG)

**30 Open Forum**

No matters were raised by the public on this occasion

**31 Minutes**

**RESOLVED** - That the minutes of the previous meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup> July 2014 be agreed as a correct record

**32 Health and Social Care in Leeds: a two year look ahead for the city**

The Chief Officer, Health Partnerships, presented a report providing the Board with a two year 'look ahead' at the major issues, challenges and opportunities facing partners in the city.

The report provided an update on work undertaken since the June HWB meeting and contained contributions from each major healthcare organisation represented at the Board (NHS provider trusts, NHS CCGs, NHS England, Leeds City Council) in response to key indicators.

Representatives of each of the organisations presented a brief overview of the responses provided.

During discussions the following matters were considered

- The possibility of including the private sector in future reviews of Leeds health and social care provision
- The need to emphasise the importance of service user involvement in service design and to emphasise "wellness" in the future, rather than sickness

- The models of General Practice social prescribing and a review of the success of that process
- The role of third sector involvement in health and social care provision
- The need to widen the focus of the traditional services
- The implications for the respective work forces in terms of preparation for implementation and that this matter was included within the Transformation Board work stream
- The reach and benefits of the “Families First” scheme was noted for further consideration with partners

HWB also recognised the role and impact of health professionals in the world of child care, schooling and safeguarding. Members considered the proper place for children and young people’s mental health provision; noting that a Scrutiny Inquiry was due to commence 28 October 2014 on this issue and that the CCG Integrated Commissioning Board had asked begun a review of child and youth mental health services. HWB suggested the Inquiry could consider evidence from teachers/school staff who were often first point of contact for a child. Councillor Eileen Taylor, Member of Scrutiny Board (Health and Wellbeing and Adult Social Care) was in attendance and agreed to refer this comment to the Chair of the Scrutiny Board.

**RESOLVED –**

- a) That the contents of the report and attached plans and the comments of the Board on the plans submitted by the health and local authority partner organisations on the Health and Wellbeing Board, giving a two year ‘look ahead’ for their organisations, be noted.
- b) That the comments made by the Board on how the plans and strategies for each organisation contribute to the Leeds Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy be noted.

**33 Commissioning Primary Care Services in Leeds 2014-16**

Further to Minute 7 of the meeting held 18 June 2014, Moira Dumma, NHS England, West Yorkshire, presented a report on the NHS England commissioning approach and plans for primary care services in Leeds for 2014-2016, covering the major commissioning areas of General Practice, Dental Services, Community Pharmacy and Community Optometry.

A revised version of the appendix to the report had been circulated prior to the meeting.

The Chair reported that she had responded on behalf of HWB to NHS England’s request for comments on co-commissioning by welcoming the move to more local decision making and seeking a role for the HWB

In considering the report, the following matters were highlighted:

- Co-commissioning – noted the development work being undertaken across the CCGs in readiness for implementation in April 2015. Updates would be provided as plans emerged
- Oral health - noted the progress made by Leeds and that the Oral Health Strategy would be presented to HWB early next year

- Links and monitoring - the need to ensure that issues raised in various partner meetings were fed into the co-commissioning plans and that monitoring of the new working arrangements would ensure progression
- Ambitions – commented that the plans did not reference co-commissioning as an ambition for Primary Care and that additional narrative on how patient feedback shaped service provision was required in order to meet the criteria of the JHWS
- Recognition of the need to discuss how change will be instigated and delivered, and the external factors which might affect delivery.
- Existing practice - recognised that some existing practices had grown out of immediate service need rather than an overview of provision being taken.

HWB discussed examples –

- HWB discussed the example of child mental health which was dependant on individual teachers and cluster organisations taking a role and required behavioural changes in adults to recognise children in difficulty. Noted the comment that Clusters should be involved in service planning for this issue
- deprivation and it's influence on provision, noting that individual former PCTs would have had regard to the deprivation indexes and shaped provision accordingly although it could be said that those indicators were now out of date. A workshop scheduled for the New Year would consider this issue and service structure

Extended GP opening hours - noting that West CCG had implemented extended service as a pilot scheme to test uptake, HWB considered the demand for the services, the role of third sector for provision of some services, resources and capacity. HWB felt it would be useful to receive the results from West CCG and national pilots

#### **RESOLVED -**

- a) To note the report and associated work being carried out in Leeds to deliver high quality primary care services and improve general practice, dental, pharmacy and optometry services.
- b) That the comments made on the challenges and opportunities facing primary care in Leeds, in particular relating to access, quality and sustainability of services, be noted
- c) That a further report be provided to HWB members in due course on the results and/or success of the 7 day General Practice working undertaken by Leeds West CCG and nationally; to include information on the access and uptake of services and reference to any impact of the move of some provision from acute to General Practice provision
- d) That a further performance report on the CCGs be presented in due course following the implementation of the new ways of working

### **34 Better Care Fund Update**

Matt Ward (Leeds South and East CCG) presented the report of the Deputy Director of Commissioning (Adult Social Care) and the Chief Operating Officer (Leeds South East CCG) on the latest position of the Better Care Fund (BCF).

The report outlined the work to be undertaken prior to the official BCF 2015/16 live year.

The Chair expressed thanks to all partners and officers who worked on the submission

**RESOLVED -**

- a) To note the progress on the BCF in Leeds to date; namely
  - I. That the most recent version of the BCF template was submitted on 19 September 2014.
  - II. That Leeds has established 2014/15 as a shadow year of the Better Care Fund through putting in place “pump-priming” arrangements ahead the first official BCF year in 2015/16.
  - III. That a number of schemes have been worked up to varying degrees of detail, as set out in the report.
- b) To note that work will continue throughout 2014/15:
  - I. To fully articulate the cost benefit of the individual schemes of the BCF with a view to their inclusion in 2015/16
  - II. To put in place robust management and governance processes through the Transformation Board programmes and a Section 75
- c) To note that other joint commissioning arrangements through the Integrated Commissioning Executive as part of the wider ambition for a high quality and sustainable health and care system for the city are being considered
- d) To note the increased financial risk associated with the revised payment-by-performance element of the Fund which only relates to a reduction in all non-elective admissions and to note that whilst this provides greater assurance to the acute setting around payment for non-elective activity if the BCF does not deliver the expected reduction, it potentially adds additional risk and reduces the flexibility of the fund to develop community services if the reduction is not delivered.

**35 Leeds Safeguarding Children Board Annual Report**

The Board received the report of the Leeds Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB) which provided a brief summary of the key issues and challenges from the LSCB Annual Report Executive Summary

The Chair reported receipt of a letter from DCLG in respect of proposed inspection visit to Leeds by Louise Casey

Bryan Gocke presented the Annual Report on behalf of LSCB and extended apologies from Jane Held, Chair of LSCB

Mr Gocke outlined the improvements identified in the report against the five priorities and noted the services' increased awareness of the need to engage with young people to help shape future services. The use of the 'front door' approach which serves as referral/reporting point and as first point of access for young people to access other services was also highlighted

In particular the HWB discussed

- The 'Think Family' approach when working with a young person and the opportunities to highlight this approach through discussions and training with partners at a series of forthcoming events
- The importance of partnership working between HWB, LSCB and Leeds Adults Safeguarding Board
- The setting of bereavement services for young people and the most appropriate provider. Noting that the CCGs had recently discussed this issue, it was suggested that a CCG/HWB partnership review be organised
- Noted reassurance that Child Sexual Exploitation was recognised as a major issue, with a specialised sub group created by the LSCB specific to this matter with a co-ordinated partnership across the city
- Recognition that the need for confidentiality should not get in the way of safeguarding
- Noted that the Leeds Safeguarding Adults Board Annual report had been published, with a workshop planned for November 2014 following which a report would be presented to HWB

**RESOLVED** – That the contents of the report and the comments made by Members be noted and:

- a) To implement the 'Think Family – Work Family' protocol (which promotes more 'joined up working' in responding to vulnerable children, young people *and adults*).
- b) To improve the availability and accessibility of bereavement services.

### **36 Best Start Plan on a Page**

The Board received the joint report of the Director of Public Health and the Director of Children's Services presenting the draft "Best Start Plan on a Page" – a broad preventative programme from conception to age 2 aimed at ensuring the best start for every baby. The Plan was presented for the Boards' information prior to it being circulated for discussion and consultation, including user engagement; and in readiness for a full report and discussion at the February 2015 Health and Wellbeing Board.

In presenting the report Dr Ian Cameron noted that the Maternity Strategy would be presented in February. It was agreed that the mother and baby mental health services would be included, in response to comments.

**RESOLVED**

- a) To note the draft Best Start Plan on a Page for information prior to the Plan being circulated for discussion and consultation, including user engagement.
- b) To invite the Plan to be brought back for full discussion with partners at the Board meeting scheduled for 4<sup>th</sup> February 2015.
- c) To note that the Maternity Strategy would be presented to the Board meeting scheduled for 4<sup>th</sup> February 2015, to include reference to mother and baby mental health strategy

### **37 For Information - Delivering the Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy: update report**

Draft minutes to be approved at the meeting  
to be held on Wednesday, 4th February, 2015

The Board received a copy of the October 2014 "Delivering the Strategy" document; a bi-monthly report which gave the Board the opportunity to monitor progress on the Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy (JHWS) 2013-15.

Gordon Sinclair (Leeds West CCG) drew attention to the report and in discussions; the Board noted the findings of the Commission into Child Poverty in respect of the phenomenon of in-work families in poverty and agreed that the "Due North" report be presented to a future HWB meeting. Finally, HWB congratulated Children's Services on the positive indicator in respect of the increased number of children gaining 5 GCSE

**RESOLVED –**

- a) To note receipt of the October 2014 "Delivering the Strategy" JHWS monitoring document
- b) To note the potential to present the "Due North" publication to a future meeting of HWB

**38 Late Item - Proposed Congenital Heart Disease Standards and Service Specifications**

The Chair introduced the Late Item of business - "Proposed Congenital Heart Disease Standards and Service Specifications" - which had been included on the agenda in order to highlight and widen the consultation which was due to close on 8 December 2014

In presenting the document, Moira Dumma (NHS England), highlighted the differences between the approach taken to the consultation process in 2012 and in 2014.

In discussing the report the HWB commented on the following

- The need to translate the documents into community languages, particularly for those communities with a high number of service users and the need to ensure the documents are available in 'easy read' versions
- Concern that the consultation had not been undertaken in conjunction with local authorities who had a proven track history of engaging with local communities through existing structures
- Concern that no resources were earmarked to support implementation
- The need to acknowledge that patients and public should have the opportunity to influence the service and systems
- The need to include consideration of how people are supported whilst being cared for at Leeds unit - which supports patients from across Yorkshire and the Humber
- The lack of reference to safeguarding in the consultation
- Access and interaction with the services outside of the usual Unit setting

**RESOLVED –**

- a) To note receipt of the consultation document and to encourage participation in the public consultation
- b) That, agreement be given for the Chair to draft a response to the consultation, based on the discussions at this meeting, on behalf of

HWB. A draft to be emailed to HWB members for ratification prior to submitting the response by the given deadline

**39 Any Other Business**

No matters of any other business were raised

**40 Date and Time of Next Meeting**

**RESOLVED** – To note the following arrangements:

- a) A Board workshop session scheduled for Wednesday 26<sup>th</sup> November 2014
- b) The next formal Board meeting to be held on Wednesday 4<sup>th</sup> February 2015 at 9.30am



## **NORTH AND EAST PLANS PANEL**

**THURSDAY, 23RD OCTOBER, 2014**

**PRESENT:** Councillor R Charlwood in the Chair

Councillors R Grahame, C Macniven,  
J Procter, G Wilkinson, M Lyons,  
B Cleasby, B Selby, S McKenna, D Cohen  
and E Nash

### **70 Chair's opening remarks**

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and asked Members and Officers to introduce themselves

### **71 Declarations of Disclosable Pecuniary Interests**

There were no declarations of disclosable pecuniary interest however Councillor R Grahame brought to the Panel's attention in respect of application 14/00575/FU – 56 The Drive LS15 – that his wife, Councillor P Grahame was a Ward Member for Crossgates and Whinmoor and was aware of the application and the history attached to it (minute 77 refers)

Councillor J Procter brought to the Panel's attention in respect of application 14/01404/FU Paddock Cottage 7 Moorlands Boston Spa, that he knew the land owner and resided in the same village (minute 78 refers)

Councillor Macniven brought to the Panel's attention in respect of application 14/03196/FU – café within Roundhay Park, that she was a Ward Member for Roundhay, (minute 79 refers)

### **72 Apologies for Absence**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Harland, with Councillor Nash attending in her place

### **73 Minutes**

**RESOLVED** - That the minutes of the North and East Plans Panel meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> September 2014 be approved

### **74 Application 14/04228/FU - Removal of condition 7 of previous approval 13/04870/FU to allow conversion and alterations to garage to form habitable room and alterations to first floor side windows - 6A Primley Park Avenue Alwoodley LS17**

Draft minutes to be approved at the meeting  
to be held on Thursday, 27th November, 2014

The Panel's Lead Officer referred to the site visit which had taken place earlier in the day in respect of proposals at 6A Primley Park Avenue and requested that consideration of the application be deferred to enable Officers to examine more closely whether what had been constructed on site was fully in accordance with the agreed plans

**RESOLVED** - To defer determination of the application and for a further report to be brought to Panel in due course

**75 Application 14/01805/FU - Detached dwelling to garden plot - Land to the rear of 16 Park Avenue LS8**

Further to minute 66 of the North and East Plans Panel meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> September 2014, where Panel resolved not to accept the Officer's recommendation to approve an application for detached dwelling to garden plot, Members considered a further report of the Chief Planning Officer setting out a possible reason for refusal of the application, based on concerns expressed by Members

**RESOLVED** - That the application be refused for the following reason:

The Local Planning Authority considers that the development of this rear garden with the contemporary style dwelling, incorporating architectural features not common to the locality and which is accessed via a long driveway to the side of the host dwelling, would be out of keeping with the established residential character of the Roundhay Conservation Area and as such would neither preserve or enhance its character and appearance due to its inappropriate design. The proposal is therefore contrary to policies BD5, N12, N13 and N19 of the Leeds Unitary Development Plan Review and the guidance set out at sections 7 and 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework

**76 Applications 14/03111/FU and 14/04107/FU - Grain Store and General Store Sandbeck Lane Wetherby LS22**

Further to minute 67 of the North and East Plans Panel meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> September 2014, where Panel resolved not to accept the Officer's recommendation for a detached grain store and detached storage building at Sandbeck Lane Wetherby, Members considered a further report of the Chief Planning Officer setting out a possible reason for refusal based upon the concerns expressed by Members. It was noted that as part of the scheduled round of site visits undertaken by Members earlier in the day, this site had also been viewed

A plan showing the ownership of the land was displayed at the meeting  
The Panel considered how to proceed

**RESOLVED** - That the application be refused for the following reason:

The proposed buildings, by virtue of their scale and bulk and siting on an arterial route into Wetherby, on the approach road to Wetherby Racecourse, can be seen in significant public views of the site, which are

currently not characterised by large modern farm structures. In these views the proposed structures would be out of keeping with the undeveloped character of the locality, and would thereby be harmful to visual amenity. The application is, therefore, contrary to UDPR policies RL1, GP5 and SP2 and guidance contained within Section 7 of the National Planning Policy Framework

**77 Application 14/00575/FU - 4 bedroom detached house incorporating basement accommodation (part retrospective) - 56 The Drive Cross Gates LS15**

Further to minute 34 of the North and East Plans Panel meeting held on 24<sup>th</sup> July 2014, where Panel was minded to refuse the latest application for a four bedroom dwelling at 56 The Drive LS15, the Panel considered a further report of the Chief Planning Officer

Plans, drawings and photographs were displayed at the meeting

Officers presented the report and stated that since the meeting in July, significant progress had been made regarding the implementation of the scheme

A brief history of the site was outlined to Members

The current position was that a signed unilateral undertaking had been received from the applicant which committed the applicant to a timetable of works which were designed to secure a timely implementation of the proposed alterations. Following the issue of a planning approval, the applicant would be required to make a start on site within 4 four weeks and practically complete the dwelling seven months after the start. Building Control would be involved in the practical sign-off of the building and in the event the applicant did not carry out the works as prescribed, there was a requirement for the building to be demolished within two months, after that time, the Council would be able to enter on the land, demolish the building, with the applicant being required to reimburse the Council

Receipt of additional comments from a local resident and Councillor P Gruen on behalf of all of the Ward Members were reported, with Councillor Gruen's comments being read out to the Panel

Members discussed the application, with the main points raised relating to:

- the appearance of the dwelling in the streetscene
- the unilateral undertaking; what benefit this was for the Council and the extent of the powers it gave to the Local Authority
- the design of the dwelling
- how any breach of the application would be dealt with

**RESOLVED** - That the application be granted subject to the terms of the unilateral undertaking regarding implementation and the conditions set out in the submitted report. In the event of any breach of the planning permission, this to be reported to Panel for determination on the course of action to be taken

**78 Application 14/01404/FU - Demolition of existing house and erection of 4 detached houses at Paddock Cottage 7 The Moorlands Boston Spa Wetherby LS23**

Plans, drawings and photographs were displayed at the meeting. A Members site visit had taken place earlier in the day

Officers presented the report in respect of an application for the demolition of an existing dwelling and the erection of four detached dwellings at Paddock Cottage 7 The Moorlands, Boston Spa, which was located within a Conservation Area

A correction to the report before Panel was made in respect of paragraph 1.2 of the submitted report, which should have read 'that the design of the house would not be harmful to the conservation area and that none of the category A trees would be harmed'.

Details of the east and west access arrangements; which dwellings currently utilised which access and how the proposed new dwellings would access and egress the site were provided

Design revisions to the houses were outlined, with Members being informed that the houses would be substantial dwellings, constructed out of natural coursed stone, with flat roof dormers and better detailing than the previous proposals. The garages had also been reduced in scale and were of a more acceptable scale in relation to the dwellings

Although many aspects of the scheme were acceptable to Officers, the application was being recommended for refusal based upon highway concerns through sub-standard access visibility on to High Street. It was brought to Members' attention that an existing wall would be removed to marginally improve visibility, however Officers concerns remained

The Panel heard representations on behalf of the applicant who provided information which included:

- the increase in the use of the eastern access arising from the proposals, which would be one net user and not an increase from two to five dwellings as set out in the report
- the guidance used by the Council to assess the highway aspects of the application
- details of improvements to the eastern access
- the lack of accidents at the junction within the last five years

The Panel heard representations from two members of the local community, one who represented the Parish Council and who provided information which included:

- the number of properties which would use the east and west access and highways concerns
- the level of public opposition to the proposals
- speed checks carried out by the police
- that the site could be accessed from the adjacent Churchfields site
- access not in accordance with the Street Design Guide

- the impact of the proposals on emergency vehicular access and refuse servicing

Members commented on the application, with the main issues being raised relating to:

- the number of users and traffic that would use the east and west access points
- inconsistency of approach to applications, with an application for five dwellings at 134-140 High Street Boston Spa being cited, which was granted. The differences between the two applications were outlined to Panel by the Highways Officer, with it being explained that at 134-140 High Street the five dwellings replaced several commercial units which could generate more traffic than the five dwellings, which was subsequently accepted by the Inspector
- the relevant design standards that should be used. The Highways Officer clarified that whatever design standard was used, including the Street Design Guide, the access visibility was considered to be severely substandard
- the impact on visibility of the removal of the wall. The Panel's Highways Officer accepted that this would improve visibility but only marginally and the visibility would remain severely substandard and the partial widening of the drive and passing places would be required regardless of the visibility issue
- impact of the proposals on trees

A detailed discussion on the highways issues took place with concerns being raised that the applicant had not demonstrated that road safety issues had been addressed and the counter view being put that a highways solution could be found to make the development acceptable

The possibility of the application being granted on appeal was raised by a Member, with the Panel's Highways Officer stating that the recommendation to refuse the application would not have been brought to Panel if it could not be defended, and highlighted a relevant Inspector's decision in close proximity which was successfully defended despite having better visibility than the eastern access

The Panel considered how to proceed

**RESOLVED** - That the Officer's recommendation to refuse the application be not accepted and that a further report be submitted to the next meeting setting out possible conditions, including a traffic management scheme to be attached to an approval, for Panel's determination

## **79 Application 14/03196/FU - Demolition of former public toilet and construction of new two storey cafe located on Princes Avenue Roundhay LS8**

Plans, photographs and graphics were displayed at the meeting. A Members site visit had taken place earlier in the day

Officers presented the report which sought approval of the demolition of the former public toilet and the erection of a new two storey café within

Roundhay Park. The correct address of the application was given as Princes Avenue, not Princess Avenue as stated in the submitted report

Members were informed that the new building would be a timber framed modern structure with smoked glass in aluminium frames and that the applicant had recently agreed to the use of timber shingles on the roof. It was the view of Officers that the design of the café responded to the context of its setting. In terms of height, the cafe would be 3 metres higher than the existing building; it was set well away from residential dwellings and there would be no impact on surrounding trees

Reference was made to an issue raised by objectors in relation to the existence of a covenant which restricted development within the park. Members were informed this was not a material planning consideration

In terms of the demolition, Ward Members had requested this be carried out as quickly as possible

The Panel heard representations from two objectors who outlined their concerns in respect of the proposals, these including:

- the absence of car parking in the scheme and the increased pressure on existing car parks arising from the development
- the design of the building and that this was not in keeping with a Victorian park
- an existing covenant which restricted development within the park

The Panel then heard representations from the applicant's agent and a local businessman who supported the proposals and who provided information to Members, which included:

- design issues and the method of construction
- that the proposals would have no impact on trees
- that employment would be generated through the development
- that the site needed to be redeveloped

Members discussed the application and commented on the following matters:

- that reclaimed stone be used to rebuild the 900mm wall to the Gledhow Lane elevation
- the timescale for the demolition of the public toilet building
- provision of disabled toilet facilities in the new building
- the need to consider the timescales for the work in view of work which was due to commence on Oakwood Clock in January 2015

The Panel's Lead Officer suggested that the application be deferred and delegated to Officers to discuss the timescale with Ward Members

Members welcomed the proposals and the design of the new building

**RESOLVED** – To defer and delegate approval to the Chief Planning Officer subject to discussions with Ward Members on the timescale for the works and subject to the conditions set out in the submitted report plus additional conditions requiring the provision of timber shingles as the roof treatment for the building; the provision of disabled toilet facilities in the café;

use of reclaimed stone for walling materials at the Gledhow Lane elevation and details of the scheme to deal with surface water drainage to be submitted and approved

**80 Application 14/01568/FU - Two storey side extension including pitched roof to existing side extension and single storey rear extension - 20 Carr Manor Avenue Moortown LS17**

Prior to consideration of this matter, Councillor Wilkinson left the meeting

Plans and photographs were displayed at the meeting. A Members site visit had taken place earlier in the day

The Panel's Lead Officer presented the report which related to extensions to an existing dwelling at 20 Carr Manor Avenue. It was felt that the amount of garden which would remain if the extensions were allowed would be inadequate for a family house, with the recommendation before Panel being to refuse the application on the grounds of overdevelopment

The Panel heard representations from the applicant who provided information on the application which included:

- the loss of the garage (required by Officers)
- the existing parking spaces available on the site
- the amount of amenity space which would be retained

Members discussed the application and commented on the following matters:

- the removal of trees within the site which could provide additional space
- the amount of space taken up by the rear kitchen extension
- that further options should be considered rather than refusal

The Panel considered how to proceed

**RESOLVED** - That the application be refused for the following reason:

The Local Planning Authority considers that the proposal will result in an overdevelopment of the site that will result in inadequate private amenity space provision for the occupiers of this family house and a house with a spatial setting that is out of keeping with, and causes harm to, the established residential character of the area. As such the proposed development would be contrary to Policies GP5 and BD6 of the Leeds Unitary Development Plan (Review 2006) and Policy HDG1 of the Householder Design Guide and paragraphs 17, 56, 58, and 65 of the National Planning Policy Framework

**81 Application 14/02619/FU - Change of use of stable including alteration to form one holiday cottage at land off Wetherby Road Scarcroft LS14**

Further to minute 48 of the North and East Plans Panel meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> August 2014, where Panel deferred determination of an application for change of use of stable including alteration to form one holiday cottage for

Draft minutes to be approved at the meeting  
to be held on Thursday, 27th November, 2014

additional information, the Panel considered a further report of the Chief Planning Officer

Plans of the proposals were displayed at the meeting. A Members site visit had taken place earlier in the day

Officers presented the report and confirmed that the site was located in the Green Belt, despite the applicant's agent suggesting this was a brownfield site. Members were informed that further information had been submitted on the proposed method of insulating the walling and flooring. Responses had also been received from several LPAs to see how they viewed or had approached similar development, with these being included in the report before Panel

Officers were of the view that the application should be refused, with a reason for refusal being included in the submitted report. If minded to accept the Officer's recommendation, a further reason for refusal was suggested to Panel, relating to the harmful impact of use on the open character of the Green Belt

Members heard representations from the applicant's agent who provided information to Panel, which included:

- that the proposals were for a conversion of an existing building
- the extent of the aspects of the building which would be retained
- reference to Paragraph 19 of the NPPF relating to sustainable growth
- that the proposals represented sustainable development and did not impact on neighbours or the Green Belt

The Panel discussed the application, with the main issues relating to:

- the sustainability of the proposals
- the suitability of the building for holiday accommodation
- that the application was in effect for a new house

**RESOLVED** - That the application be refused for two reasons, one, as set out in the submitted report:

The Local Planning Authority considers that the building proposed to be converted is not sufficiently of substantial and permanent construction. The structure would require substantial modification before it could be converted to form a dwelling, to the point at which it could not be considered to be a conversion. As such, the Local Planning Authority considers that the proposed development would be tantamount to the construction of a new dwelling in the Green Belt which would constitute inappropriate development and which is, by definition, harmful. Significant weight must be given to this harm and, in the absence of very special circumstances, the proposal is therefore considered to be contrary to Leeds Unitary Development Plan Review Policies GB4 and the guidance contained within Section 9 of the NPPF

With a further reason relating to the harmful impact of use on the open character of the Green Belt, i.e.

The proposed change of use and redevelopment of the stables to form a C3 dwelling house use is likely to have a harmful impact on the openness of the Green Belt, through the formalisation of a domestic curtilage and



associated domestic paraphernalia which are likely to arise as part of the use. The application is therefore contrary to guidance contained within Paragraph 89 of the NPPF

**82      Application 14/05397/FU - New pitched roof and alterations to detached garage to form outbuilding - 50 The View Alwoodley LS17**

Plans and drawings were displayed at the meeting

The Panel's Lead Officer presented the report which sought approval for a new roof and alterations to a detached garage to form an outbuilding at 50 The View Alwoodley. Members were informed that in design terms the proposals were acceptable and that no concerns had been raised regarding parking or neighbours. However the application had been brought to Panel for determination as the applicant was an Elected Member

**RESOLVED** - That the application be granted subject to the conditions set out in the submitted report

**83      Date and Time of Next Meeting**

Thursday 27<sup>th</sup> November 2014 at 1.30pm in the Civic Hall, Leeds

This page is intentionally left blank

## **SCRUTINY BOARD (SUSTAINABLE ECONOMY AND CULTURE)**

**TUESDAY, 21ST OCTOBER, 2014**

**PRESENT:** Councillor K Groves in the Chair

Councillors A Castle, J Chapman,  
D Cohen, P Davey, R Harington,  
M Ingham, S McKenna, B Selby and  
P Wadsworth

### **30 Late Items**

There were no formal late items of business to consider.

### **31 Declaration of Disclosable Pecuniary Interests**

There were no disclosable pecuniary interests declared at the meeting.

### **32 Apologies for Absence and Notification of Substitutes**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor A Hussain.

### **33 Minutes - 9 September 2014**

**RESOLVED** – That the minutes of the meeting held on 9 September 2014 be confirmed as a correct record.

### **34 Scrutiny Inquiry - Employment and Skills**

The Board conducted the first session of its inquiry on employment and skills. In attendance to address the Board and answer Members' queries were:

- Ian Hunter, Department for Work and Pensions (DWP)
- Alison France, Department for Work and Pensions
- Councillor Katherine Mitchell, Lead Member, Digital and Creative Technologies, Culture and Skills
- Jane Hopkins, Head of Employment and Skills
- Lee Hemsworth, Chief Officer Customer Access
- Steve Carey, Chief Officer (Welfare and Benefits)

Ian Hunter spoke about the recent changes to the way that services are provided through the Job Centres, highlighting the following key points:

- There are 8 Job Centres and about 500 staff across Leeds.
- There are about 59,000 people on key benefits, with around 16,000 claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). Approximately 4,000 of these are young people. All of these figures have reduced from peak levels thanks to partnership working.

- The changes to a digital based service are seen as exciting and equipping the service for the 21<sup>st</sup> century. It is better for those who can self-serve, whilst face to face resource will be focused on supporting those who are unable or unwilling to do so.
- About 85% of JSA claims are now being made on-line.
- Claimants are shown how to use the public access computers in Job Centres, including how to access key websites and upload their CV.
- The service also increasingly uses text, email and twitter to communicate.
- The Claimant Commitment has replaced the previous Jobseeker's Agreement.
- The Job Centres are not shutting down channels of access and there is a commitment to provide support to ensure people are not digitally excluded.

Councillor, officers and the Lead Member highlighted the following points:

- The Council is taking a similar approach to digital enabling through the community hub pilots, encouraging those who are able to self-serve to do so, and providing support to those unable to do so. There is a role in providing the digital infrastructure and also building capacity and providing support to access it.
- There is wide ranging provision of courses and learning across Leeds to develop on-line skills and this is being promoted.
- People need to be supported with the ability and confidence to use technology.
- It is important not to close down alternative channels of access while people still need them.
- Council officers are working closely with the DWP over the benefit changes and also learning from the experience of other authorities.

The following issues were raised in discussion:

- Face to face support is given to those currently unable to use the PC access at Job centres, with the aspiration that over time people will become able to self-serve.
- The support provided by library staff to members of the public applying for jobs.
- The role of Job Clubs, including operational hours, accessibility, funding and value for money.
- Difficulties for claimants covering the cost of attending centres either for courses or to use computers. It was noted that the DWP reimburses bus fares for attendance.
- The role of the Community Hubs and the piloting of pop-up provision to take services to where people are.
- The need to use mapping information to look more closely at areas of digital exclusion, identify gaps in service provision and consider how to address these.
- The demotivating effect of applying for lots of jobs and getting no feedback from employers.

- The role of Job Centre staff in supporting clients who are being unsuccessful in their applications.
- The proposed further development of community hubs across the city
- The recording of job seeking activity on claimants' universal job match accounts, and the operation of sanctions under the new Claimant Commitment scheme.
- The potential for co-location of Job Centres and council offices, which is precluded at present by the leases on Job Centres. There was a commitment to work in a complementary manner and avoid duplication.
- The need to engage with employers about the skills they require from employees.
- The publication of a leaflet "Get online in Leeds".
- The potential role of the private sector in boosting public wifi access. An example was given where wifi is required in order for smart meters to work in housing tower blocks.
- The lesson from successful local authorities is that staff and councillors need to be champions of computer use and encourage people to embrace digital technology.
- A request for data on any impact on the use of council free phone access following the removal of public access phones from Job Centres.
- Feedback from employers about whether speculative applications are welcome or not, and how this influences the requirements on claimants to submit applications.
- How young people are supported to be job ready.
- The positive impact of work experience.

**RESOLVED** – That the issues raised by this session of the inquiry be noted.

### **35 District Heating**

The Board considered proposals for the development of district heating in Leeds. Councillor Barry Anderson, Chair of the Safer and Stronger Communities Scrutiny Board, joined the Board for this item.

In attendance to address the Board and answer Members' queries were:

- George Munson, Senior Programme Leader, Sustainable Energy & Climate Change Team
- Tom Knowland, Head of Sustainable Energy & Climate Change

The following issues were raised in discussion:

- The opportunities now available to develop district heating in Leeds
- The purpose of the new feasibility work which is looking at a bigger network
- Developments in the technology associated with district heating schemes which minimised heat lost over distance travelled
- The need for existing heating systems in tower blocks to be overhauled

- A specific request for interim action to be taken in relation to ongoing problems experienced by some residents at Saxton Gardens
- The potential for local jobs and skills opportunities at all levels associated with the development, implementation and operation of district heating
- Clarification of the respective roles of Leeds City Council and Veolia
- Plans for stakeholder consultation as part of the scheme's development
- The need to promote understanding of the positive opportunities offered by district heating now, to respond to people concerned as a result of poor experiences from older schemes. The success of the scheme in Sheffield and also smaller local schemes in Leeds such as Cottingley Heights was referred to, as well as the potential for 10% savings on residents' fuel bills
- Clarification that food waste formed only a very small amount of the overall waste levels, so alternative methods of processing this should not have a significant impact on the proposals
- Planning policies within the Core Strategy and the Aire Valley Action Plan which would support the development of district heating, including the ability to place relevant conditions on planning permissions
- Work to be undertaken by the Safer and Stronger Communities Scrutiny Board in reviewing the waste strategy
- The ongoing interest of this Board in monitoring activity to reduce the city's carbon footprint

#### **RESOLVED –**

- a) That a further report to be provided to the Scrutiny Board, setting out actions and proposals to maximise the jobs and skills opportunities from this project.
- b) That the Board will continue to monitor efforts to reduce the carbon footprint - in line with the Sustainable Economy and Culture Strategic Partnership Board's priority of becoming a low carbon city - as part of the next annual review of the Partnership, due in April 2015.
- c) That officers be asked to explore an interim solution for properties in Saxton Gardens that are experiencing problems with the existing district heating scheme.
- d) That this Board would wish to be represented as appropriate when the Safer and Stronger Communities Scrutiny Board undertakes work related to district heating.

(Councillor Harington left the meeting at 3.25pm during consideration of this item.)

## **36 Work Schedule**

The Board received a report of the Head of Scrutiny and Member Development which set out the latest version of the Board's work schedule.

The Board agreed to add an item to the agenda for the December Board meeting in relation to the marketing of sports and events services, following discussions at working group meetings on both service areas.

**RESOLVED** – That the work schedule be agreed, subject to the above addition.

**37 Date and Time of Next Meeting**

Tuesday 18 November 2014 at 1.30pm (a pre-meeting will start at 1.00pm for Board members.)

The meeting finished at 3.30pm

This page is intentionally left blank